

HONORABLE TIFFANY M. CARTWRIGHT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

AMERICAN PACIFIC MORTGAGE  
CORPORATION, a California corporation; and  
ELEMENT MORTGAGE, a division of  
AMERICAN PACIFIC MORTGAGE  
CORPORATION

Plaintiff,

vs.

EVERETT FINANCIAL, INC. d/b/a SUPREME  
LENDING; LUKE WELLING, an individual  
residing in Washington State; MATTHEW  
THOMAS, an individual residing in Washington  
State; ANDREW HOPKINS, an individual  
residing in Washington State; AUSTIN JAMES,  
an individual residing in Washington State;  
COREY CONDRIN, an individual residing in  
Washington State; DANIEL WON, an individual  
residing in Washington State; KIMBERLY  
BUNDRUM, an individual residing in  
Washington State; CINDEE WILSON, an  
individual residing in Washington State;  
JANE/JOHN DOES 1-10; DOE  
BUSINESS/CORPORATE ENTITIES 1-10,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:21-cv-1088-TMC

**JOINT STIPULATION FOR LEAVE  
TO AMEND PLAINTIFF'S  
COMPLAINT and ORDER**

TRIAL DATE: January 17, 2023  
ACTION FILED: August 13, 2021



1      **I. INTRODUCTION**

2      Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15(a)(2) and LCR 15, Plaintiff and Defendants  
 3 by and through their respective counsel of record, hereby stipulate and agree that Plaintiff be given  
 4 leave of the Court to amend the complaint filed herein and the FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT  
 5 FOR DAMAGES AND JURY TRIAL, attached hereto as **Exhibit A**, to be filed within sixteen  
 6 days of this stipulation being granted by the court.

7      **II. BACKGROUND**

8      Plaintiff filed this lawsuit on August 13, 2021 and subsequently served the Summons and  
 9 Complaint on multiple Defendants. Subsequently, the court granted a motion to compel arbitration  
 10 as to all the defendants, except for Supreme Lending and Luke Welling, and imposed a stay on the  
 11 litigation until arbitration had concluded, sending the remaining defendants to arbitration. During  
 12 arbitration, Plaintiff learned more facts pertaining to its claims, and determined to narrow its claims  
 13 and parties, ultimately dismissing all of the defendants except for Supreme Lending and Luke  
 14 Welling. The parties now stipulate and agree to amend Plaintiff's Complaint as reflected in the  
 15 attached exhibit.

16     **III. ARGUMENT**

17     Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15(a)(2) allows for the amendment of pleadings with leave  
 18 of the court, or with opposing counsel's written consent, before trial. The Rule further provides  
 19 that "the court should freely give leave when justice so requires." *Id.*

20     The Ninth Circuit has instructed that this rule "should be interpreted with 'extreme  
 21 liberality,'" *Jackson v. Bank of Hawaii*, 902 F.2d 1385, 1387 (9th Cir. 1990) (quoting *United States  
 22 v. Webb*, 655 F.2d 977, 979 (9th Cir. 1981)), and "[a]n outright refusal to grant leave to amend  
 23 without a justifying reason is . . . an abuse of discretion." *Smith v. Constellation Brands, Inc.*, 2018  
 24 WL 991450, at \*2 (9th Cir. Feb. 21, 2018) (quoting *Leadsinger, Inc. v. BMG Music Publ'g*, 512  
 25 F.3d 522, 532 (9th Cir. 2008)). A district court only has discretion to deny leave to amend "'due  
 26 to . . . repeated failure to cure deficiencies by amendments previously allowed, undue prejudice to  
 27 the opposing party by virtue of allowance of the amendment, [and] futility of amendment.'" *Id.* at  
 28 \*2 (quoting *Zucco Partners, LLC v. Digimarc Corp.*, 52 F.3d 981, 1007 (9th Cir. 2009)) and



1       *Leadsinger, Inc.*, 512 F.3d at 532). An amendment to a pleading relates back to the date of the  
 2 original pleading when the amendment changes the party against whom a claim is asserted if the  
 3 amendment asserts a claim that arose out of the conduct, transaction, or occurrence set out (or  
 4 attempted to be set out) in the original pleading. Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(c)(1)(B).

5       **IV. CONCLUSION**

6       For these reasons, the parties respectfully seek the court's approval of this stipulation and  
 7 allow Plaintiff to file the attached amended complaint within sixteen days of this stipulation being  
 8 granted.

9       Dated this 4th day of October, 2023.

10      MEDINA MCKELVEY, LLP

11      By: s/ Rabindra M. David

12           Rabindra M. David (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
 13           Alexander M. Medina (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
 14           [alex@medinamckelvey.com](mailto:alex@medinamckelvey.com)

15           with

16           LINDSAY HART, LLP  
 17           Tyson L. Calvert (WSBA No. 38908)  
 18           [tcalvert@lindsayhart.com](mailto:tcalvert@lindsayhart.com)

19           and

20  
 21           Maren L. Calvert (WSBA No. 53940)  
 22           [mcalvert@schwabe.com](mailto:mcalvert@schwabe.com)  
 23           SCHWABE, WILLIAMSON &  
 24           WYATT  
 25           700 Washington St Ste 701  
 26           Vancouver, WA 98660-3338  
 27           Telephone: (360) 597-0804

28           *Attorneys for Plaintiff American  
 Pacific Mortgage Corp.*

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP

By: s/ F. Matthew Ralph

F. Matthew Ralph (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
[ralph.matthew@dorsey.com](mailto:ralph.matthew@dorsey.com)  
 Marina Lyons (WSBA No. 49127)  
[lyons.marina@dorsey.com](mailto:lyons.marina@dorsey.com)

*Attorneys for Defendants*

## ORDER

Pursuant to the stipulation of the parties in this matter, Plaintiff is granted leave to amend its Complaint. Plaintiff must file and serve the amended pleading on all parties within sixteen days of the filing of this order.

Dated this 4th day of October, 2023, at Seattle, Washington.

Tony Weller

Tiffany M. Cartwright  
United States District Court Judge

**Presented and submitted by:**

/s/ Rabindra M. David  
Rabindra M. David (CA SBN 274504)  
Admitted pro hac vice  
rabi@medinamckelvey.com  
MEDINA McKELVEY LLP  
925 Highland Pointe Drive, Suite 300  
Roseville, California 95678  
Telephone: (916) 960-2211  
Facsimile: (916) 742-5488